

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

A DRY ICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/543,865	04/05/2000	Cheol-jin Kim	PO6596USORFH	6382	
00.	590 09/20/2002		EXAMINER		
LARSON & TAYLOR, PLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900			NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2832		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No. 09/543,865	Applicant(s)	Kim	\mathcal{U}_{-}
J	Office Action Summary			Art Unit	
·	Office Action Cummary	Examiner Tuyen T. Ngu	yen 	2832	
·	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	spondence addres	:S
Period fo	or Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the second control of the	n no event, however, may a rep	bly be timely filed		from the
- If NO pe - Failure : - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the application to become ABA	NDONED (35 U.	S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status		2002			
1) 🗶	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 28,		,		
2a) 🗶	7113 401011 13 1 112 12	ction is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$	e except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C	etters, prose .D. 11; 453	ecution as to the O.G. 213.	: merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			II. a la Alaa	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 16</u>			e pending in the	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 16		is/a		om consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>			_ is/are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s)			_ is/are objected	to.
	Claims		ect to restr	iction and/or ele	ction requirement.
	ntion Papers				
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) accepted or	b) ☐ objec	ted to by the Ex	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	approved	d b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) X		priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [✓ All b) ✓ Some* c) ✓ None of:				
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h		Application	No	·
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	/ documents have bedureau (PCT Rule 17.2	en received (a)).	in this National	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of				
14)	•				
a)		onal application has b	HICC SS 1	o. 20 and/or 121	
15)	-	SUC PRIORITY UNIQUE 30	U,U,U, 33 I		
Attachi		4) Interview Summa	y (PTO-413) Pap	per No(s).	
1) <u> </u> 1	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		·		

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Newly submitted claims 16 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Applicant did not previously claim the structure of a microwave oven.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 16 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art of figures 8 and 9 in view of Joseph [US 4,112,405].

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Joseph discloses the claimed invention [see previous office action, paragraph 7].

Application/Control Number: 09/543,865

Art Unit: 2832

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Joseph as applied to claims 1-5 above, and further in view of Lautner et al. [US 3,959,675].

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Joseph and Lautner et al. discloses the claimed invention [see previous office action, paragraph 8].

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Joseph is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, applicant has not claimed any specific structure of a microwave oven. Applicant claims an accommodating portion for a sensor. Joseph discloses a coil having a portion accommodating a temperature sensor, as claimed.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/543,865

Art Unit: 2832

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group is (703)872-9318 before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-9319.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

LIN NTT

September 18, 2002